

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 5904/2005

PETITIONER

: Krishna Kumar Chandrakar
Aged about 40 years
S/o. R.K. Chandrakar
R/o. Village Boriyakhurd,
Raipur, Tahsil and District
Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6032/05
Presented by Abhisit Kesharwan
dated 22/11/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Collector
Raipur (C.G.)
2. Krishi Upaj Mandi
Raipur (C.G.)
3. Managing Director
Agricultural Marketing Board
(Krishi Upaj Mandi)
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
22.11.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

38

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 5904/2005
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	24.11.2005 Shri R. Pradhan , learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State. Ku. Samta Jain for respondent No. 2. The petitioner is resident of village Boriyakhurd, Raipur falling in the constituency of Sejbahar for Krishi Upaj Mandi elections. It is pointed out that in the year 1999, Sejbahar seat was declared as unreserved seat. While considering constituencies for allotment of seats by rotation under Section 3 of Krishi Upaj Mandi (Mandi Samiati Ke Nirvachan) Rules, 1997, Sejbahar constituency has been shown as reserved for other backward castes in the year 1999. It seems that there is a typographical mistake which has found place inadvertently. There is no other challenge except this. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in delimitation of the constituencies, the Collector who is the competent authority under the rules has taken away three villagers from this constituency and added to other constituency. Having gone through the paper produced here and having heard submission made by the petitioner, I found that there is no infringement of any legal or statutory rights which calls for	

-2-

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 5904/2005 सन् 200

28 39

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	indulgence of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. If there was a mistake as pointed out by the petitioner, the same may be referred to the appropriate authority. In view of the foregoing, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

26/11/05
24/12/05